











STUDENT NAME:	
THESIS TITLE:	
HOST ORGANIZATION:	
HOST ORGANIZATION ADDRESS:	
NAME & POSITION OF INTERNAL RADMEP SUPERVISOR(S):	
NAME & POSITION OF EXTERNAL SUPERVISOR(S):	
Evaluator's Name:	
1. MASTER THESIS PRESENTATION ASSESSMENT:	
A/ Presentation Skills	Satisfaction
Ty i resentation states	(Weak) 1-2-3-4-5 (Strong)
Planning	/5
Clarity	/5

A/ Presentation Skills	Satisfaction
	(Weak) 1-2-3-4-5 (Strong)
Planning	/5
Clarity	/5
Are the objectives and contributions clearly stated?	/5
Are the main areas of investigation for the Master Thesis identified?	/5
Does the student seem to have provided ideas and approaches of original thinking?	/5
TOTAL	/25

Comments:

B/ Questions	Satisfaction 1-2-3-4-5
Does the student answer correctly to the questions?	/5
Has the student used appropriate arguments to answer the questions?	
Does the student demonstrate that he/she masters his/her topic? Does the student demonstrate an appropriate level of understanding of the complexities of the topic?	/5
Does the student demonstrate that he/she managed well his/her working time and reach each objective in time?	/5
TOTAL	/15
Comments:	

C/ General appreciation of the student by the evaluator(see table below)	/10











General Comments :		
	TOTAL (A + B +	C) /50*2 = /100
Each evaluator will use to the same grading scale to evaluate RADI	MEP students:	
RADMEP grading system		Master Thesis Presentation Grade
(A) Excellent - outstanding performance	X ≥90	(Considering the grading scale, pleas
(B) Very Good - above the average standard but with some errors	80≤ X < 90	write below the final grade given to
(C) Good - generally sound work with a number of notable errors	70 ≤ X <80	the presentation)
(D) Satisfactory - fair but with significant shortcomings	60 ≤ X < 70	
(E) Sufficient - performance meets the minimum criteria	50 ≤ X < 60	/100
(F) Fail - some more work required before the credit can be awarded	40 ≤ X < 50	
(FX) Fail - considerable further work is required	0 ≤ X < 40	
Thank you for completing this evaluation form.		
	 	
2. AMENDMENTS		
2.1 This section is <u>ONLY</u> to be completed if a third evaluation is office, due to disparity in marking between the two evaluators, or	-	in due time, to the coordination
Amended Mark by the RADMEP coordinator after discussion with ev		,
Afficiated Mark by the NADIVIET Coordinator after discussion with ev	valuators and MT suner	
·	valuators and MT super	visor.
	valuators and MT super	visor.
f the final mark has been amended, the RADMEP coordinator will st		visor. Amended Total: /10
f the final mark has been amended, the RADMEP coordinator will st		visor. Amended Total: /10
If the final mark has been amended, the RADMEP coordinator will st		visor. Amended Total: /10
If the final mark has been amended, the RADMEP coordinator will st		visor. Amended Total: /10
If the final mark has been amended, the RADMEP coordinator will st		visor. Amended Total: /10
If the final mark has been amended, the RADMEP coordinator will st		visor. Amended Total: /10
If the final mark has been amended, the RADMEP coordinator will st		visor. Amended Total: /10
	tate the rationale for th	visor. Amended Total: /10