



Master RADMEP MASTER THESIS REPORT ASSESSMENT FORM

STUDENT NAME: THESIS TITLE: HOST ORGANIZATION: HOST ORGANIZATION ADDRESS: NAME & POSITION OF INTERNAL RADMEP SUPERVISOR(S): NAME & POSITION OF EXTERNAL SUPERVISOR(S): Evaluator's Name:

Please mark your views on the following tables and provide **as many** explanatory comments as possible:

1. MASTER THESIS REPORT ASSESSMENT:

A/ Technical Quality of Project	Satisfaction (weak) 1-2-3-4-5 (strong)
Is the topic meaningful, complex and challenging?	/5
Is there evidence of a clear understanding of the project area/research topic?	/5
Is there any novelty in the work? Or is the work of a significant contribution to the area?	/5
Is the topic fully researched or investigated?	/5
Are the project outcomes of a high quality?	/5
TOTAL	/25
Comments: (e.g.: describe the importance and topicality of the subject)	

B/ Project Management	Satisfaction 1-2-3-4-5
Was the adopted approach/methodology fully understood and justified?	/5
Has the student used appropriate tools/software?	/5
Have the appropriate design methodologies been employed?	/5
Has the student provided ideas and approaches of original thinking?	/5
TOTAL	/20
Comments: (e.g.: consideration of strengths/weaknesses of the chosen methods other possible methods)	

C/ Results and Self-Evaluation	Satisfaction 1-2-3-4-5
--------------------------------	---------------------------

Are the results presented clearly in a logical manner?	/5
Are problems and difficulties explained?	/5
Does the student demonstrate an understanding and interpretation of results and their significance?	/5
Is the impact of the research high?	/5
Does the student demonstrate an appropriate level of understanding of the complexities of the project?	/5
Is there any critical evaluation of the project?	/5
Has the student suggested future work?	/5
Are evaluation and recommendations coherent and logical?	/5
TOTAL	/40
Comments: (e.g.: estimate whether the results could be extended to another project/domain)	

D/ The Dissertation Document	<i>Satisfaction</i> 1-2-3-4-5
Is the writing clear, concise and with good English?	/5
Is the dissertation sensibly structured into chapters and sections?	/5
Is the dissertation of an appropriate length?	/5
Is the approach adopted well justified?	/5
How well did the student discuss and explain their own work?	/5
Is the dissertation as a document of an MSc standard?	/5
TOTAL	/30
Comments:	

E/ Volume of Work and Skills Demonstrated	<i>Satisfaction</i> 1-2-3-4-5
Does the student appear to have undertaken a significant volume of work?	/5
Was the student required to master new material to enable him/her to undertake the project?	/5
Are the conclusions well justified?	/5
Does the dissertation show a deep understanding of the topic?	/5
TOTAL	/20
Comments:	

F/ General appreciation of the student by the reviewer	/15
TOTAL (A + B + C + D + E + F)	/150
TOTAL (A + B + C + D + E + F)/1.5	/100

The weight of this evaluation form = **40%** of the overall mark of the Master Thesis

Each evaluator will use the same grading scale to evaluate RADMEP students:

RADMEP grading system		Master Thesis Presentation Grade
(A) Excellent - outstanding performance	X ≥ 90	



(B) Very Good - above the average standard but with some errors	$80 \leq X < 90$	(Considering the grading scale, please write below the final grade given to the presentation) /100
(C) Good - generally sound work with a number of notable errors	$70 \leq X < 80$	
(D) Satisfactory - fair but with significant shortcomings	$60 \leq X < 70$	
(E) Sufficient - performance meets the minimum criteria	$50 \leq X < 60$	
(F) Fail - some more work required before the credit can be awarded	$40 \leq X < 50$	
(FX) Fail - considerable further work is required	$0 \leq X < 40$	

2. GENERAL COMMENTS:

Do you for any reason believe that this dissertation should be withheld from the library?	YES	NO
If YES, please state why:		
Do you believe that the dissertation contains serious plagiarism?	Unsure	YES
If YES or unsure please comment:		

Date:

Evaluator's Signature:

This form must be completed, dated, signed and returned by email to master.RADMEP@univ-st-etienne.fr the latest **by the 4th of September 2025**.

Thank you for completing this evaluation form.

3. AMENDMENTS

This section is **ONLY** to be completed if a third evaluation is requested in writing, in due time, to the coordination office, due to disparity in marking between the two evaluators, or any other valid complaints.

Amended Mark by the RADMEP coordinator after discussion with external reviewers and academic supervisor.

Amended Total:	/100
-----------------------	-------------

If the final mark has been amended, the RADMEP coordinator will state the rationale for the changes.

Signature of the RADMEP Coordinator:

Date:

Signature: