



Master RADMEP

ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT'S PROGRESS MADE DURING THE MASTER THESIS BY THE SUPERVISION TEAM

STUDENT NAME:

THESIS TITLE:

HOST ORGANIZATION:

HOST ORGANIZATION ADDRESS:

NAME & POSITION OF INTERNAL RADMEP SUPERVISOR(S):

EMAIL OF INTERNAL RADMEP SUPERVISOR(S):

NAME & POSITION OF EXTERNAL SUPERVISOR(S):

EMAIL OF EXTERNAL SUPERVISOR(S):

DATES OF PLACEMENT: from dd/mm/2024 to dd/mm/2024

Regarding your experience in supervising the student, how would you rate the following aspects of this placement?

A/ Technical Expertise	<i>Satisfaction</i> 1-2-3-4-5
Was the student able to tackle the technical aspects of this Master thesis?	/10
Was the student able to use in an autonomous way the tools/software necessary for this Master thesis?	/10
Was the student able to interface his/her code with your software platform (system integration skills)?	
Have the appropriate design methodologies been employed?	/10
Was the adopted approach/methodology fully understood and justified?	
Has the student provided ideas and approaches of original thinking (innovation skills)?	/5
How do you rate the academic training and the skills of the student?	/5
TOTAL	/40
Comments:	

B/ Management	<i>Satisfaction</i> 1-2-3-4-5
Did the student take responsibility for the management of the Master thesis?	/10
Did the student act professionally in all aspects of his/her work?	
Did the student manage his/her time effectively?	/10
Were the project milestones set appropriately and achieved?	
How would you rate the progress of the student during the placement period?	/10
Have all objectives initially defined been achieved?	
TOTAL	/30
Comments:	

C/ Communication	<i>Satisfaction</i> 1-2-3-4-5
-------------------------	----------------------------------

How do you rate the communication/presentation skills of the student?	/10
Is the written reports clear, concise and with good English?	
How do you rate the teamwork abilities of the student (team integration)?	/10
Did the student contribute to a patent, or to a technical/scientific paper?	Yes/no
TOTAL	/20
Comments:	

D/ General appreciation of the student by the supervision team	/10
Comments:	
TOTAL (A + B + C + D)	/100

The weight of this evaluation form = **20%** of the overall mark of the Master Thesis.

E/ Self evaluation of host supervisor	<i>Weak</i> 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5	<i>Strong</i> 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
Was the set-up of the industrial placement well organised?		
Were you provided with sufficient and timely information to integrate the student into your structure?		
Were the academic requirements of the placement made clear?		
Did you have sufficient communication with the university?		
	YES	NO
Did an internal review of the report has been carried out by the host organization supervisor?		
Did a rehearsal of the thesis presentation has been carried out with the host organization supervisor?		
Did some results have been excluded for confidential reasons from report and presentation (e.g. for reason of intellectual property)?		
As host organization supervisor were you familiar with the scientific subject of the thesis?		
As host organization do you think you provided enough support for the student (positive impact of the quality of the support)?		
Would you recommend the RADMEP programme to industrial colleagues?		
Would you consider taking a RADMEP student in a placement again?		
Would you consider offering a job to a RADMEP student?		
Would you consider sponsoring a PhD in collaboration with the parent university for a RADMEP student?		
Other comments:		

Date:

Supervisor signature:

This form must be completed, dated, signed and returned by email to master.RADMEP@univ-st-etienne.fr by the 21st of August.

Thank you for welcoming one of our students and for taking the time to complete this evaluation form.